## ENANTIOSELECTIVE SYNTHESIS OF FOUR ISOMERIC BUILDING BLOCKS USEFUL IN THE SYNTHESIS OF 2-NOR-LEUKOTRIENE ANALOGUES

F.D. Bellamy, M. Bondoux, B. Boubia, P. Dodey, C. Mioskowski°

Laboratoires Fournier, Research Center, B.P. 90, F - 21121 Daix

°Université L. Pasteur, Laboratoire de Synthèse Bioorganique, Faculté de Pharmacie, B.P. 24, F - 67401 Illkirch

## (Received 20 January 1992)

Abstract : We report, in this letter, the enantioselective synthesis of four isomeric functionalized epoxides (3a, 3b, 3c and 3d) which are useful building blocks for the synthesis of 2-nor-leukotriene analogues. All four epoxides are formally derived from a single non chiral starting material, the 2-butyne-1,4-diol 7.

The peptidoleukotrienes (LTC4, LTD4 and LTE4) exert profound pharmacological effects on respiratory smooth muscle contractility and microvascular permeability, thus implying a possible role in allergic asthma<sup>1</sup>. So, the discovery of selective peptidoleukotriene antagonists remains a major focus for pharmaceutical research.

Previous studies in our laboratories<sup>2</sup> as well as in other groups<sup>3-5</sup>, have resulted in the identification of antagonists obtained by modification of the backbone of the natural LTD4. A flip from agonist to antagonist was observed when shortening the distance between the peptide and the carboxylate moieties, resulting in 2-nor-leukotrienes analogues 2 (Figure 1). In the natural series, all four isomers at chiral centers C5 to C6 have been prepared, firstly in order to assist in the exact stereochemistry of the natural leukotrienes and secondly for a better determining understanding of the structure-activity relationships 6. In the course of an ongoing programm aimed at the discovery of novel leukotrienes antagonists we were interested in preparing a series of nor-LTD4 analogues 2. The synthesis of these derivatives required a short, efficient, stereo- and enantio-specific access to the four isomeric intermediate aldehydes 3a to 3d (Figure 2). We anticipated that all four isomers 3a to 3d could formally derive form a single non chiral precursor, the butynediol 7 (Figure 3). The synthesis of the trans-aldehydes 3a and 3b was first accomplished as depicted in Figure 4 (Schemes 1 and 3) : LAH reduction of 7 gave the butenediol 8 which was monoprotected as its p-bromobenzylderivative 97. Subsequent enantioselective epoxidation using Sharpless's reagent afforded 10a and  $10b^8$ . The enantiomeric purity of these enantiomers have been established to be greater than 95% by 400 MHz NMR on the Mosher's ester derivatives. Smooth oxydation of the free alcohols gave the corresponding aldehydes<sup>9</sup> which were submitted to a Wittig-type reaction to afford the unsaturated compounds 11. The next key step required the reduction of the double bond without opening of the epoxide. This was nicely accomplished by diimide, using Cusack's procedure<sup>10</sup>. Then, removal of the protecting benzyl group of 12 followed by oxidation gave us the trans, optically active aldehydes 3a and 3b. Their enantiomeric purity have been found to be greater than 95% by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis









(300 MHz) after derivatization with a chiral diamine<sup>11</sup> (Peaks due to the other diastereoisomer were not detected).

Although the cis-alcohols 10c and 10d corresponding to 10a and 10b should also be available from butynediol 7<sup>7</sup>, it was more convenient to prepare 10c and 10d (R = H) by saponification of the paranitrobenzoates derivatives 14c and 14d commercially available in high enantiomeric purity<sup>12</sup> (Scheme 2). The same sequence of reactions allowed us to obtain the isomeric aldehydes 3c and 3d. As for the trans isomers, the optical purity of the trans aldehydes has been checked by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis of the corresponding imidazolidines and found to be greater than 95%.

Acknowledgements are due to F. Cermelj for typing this manuscript and to A. Alexakis for a gracious gift of the chiral diamine.

## **References and Footnotes**

- 1. Rokach, J., in "Leukotrienes and Lipoxygenases", Ed. J. Rokach, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989.
- a) Ousset, J.B., Thesis, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 1984.
  b) Boubia, B., Thesis, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 1990.
- Gleason, J.G., Ku, T.W., McCarthy, M.E., Weichman, B.M., Holden, D., Osbor R.R., Zaloko-Potapovich, B., Berkowitz, B., Wasserman, M.A., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 1983, 117, 732-739.
- Perchonock, C.D., Uzinskas, I., Ku, T.W., Mc Carthy, M.E., Dondinell, W.E., Volpe, B.W., Gleason, J.G., Weichman, B.M., Mircitelli, R.M., Devan, J.F., Tucker, S.S., Vickery, L.M., Wasserman, M.A., Prostaglandins, 1985, 29, 75-81.
- 5. Ku, T.W., McCarthy, M.E., Weichman, B.M., Gleason, J.G., J. Med. Chem., 1985, 28, 1847-1852.
- 6. See reference 1 p. 38
- 7. In order to get crystalline, easy to purify compounds, we used a procedure described for the corresponding cis-derivatives :

Chong, J.M., Wong, S., J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 2596-2598.

- 8. One enantiomer of the trans family has been described by Chong and al.<sup>7</sup>; it has been obtained by Sharpless epoxidation of the corresponding monoprotected trans butynediol.
- 9. We did not try to isolate the corresponding aldehydes but we carried out the olefination reaction in the same pot.
- 10. Cusack, N.J., Reese, C.B., Roozpeikar, B., J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1972, 20, 1132-1133.
- 11. Mangeney, P., Alexakis, A., Normant, J.F., Tetrahedron Letters, 1988, 29, 2677-2680.
- 12. Both enantiomers are commercially available from FLUKA.
- 13.  $[\alpha]$ D values mesured in CHCl<sub>3</sub>: 10a:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^2 = +17.7$  (c = 2.12); 10b:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^2 = -17.7$  (c = 2.07); 10c:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^2 = -26$  (c = 1.055); 10d:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^2 = +26$  (c = 0.91). 12a:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^4 = +19.3$  (c = 2.45); 12b:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^4 = -19.6$  (c = 2.5); 12c:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^4 = -19.7$  (c = 1.40); 12d:  $[\alpha]\hat{a}^2 = +19.3$  (c = 1.20). 11a:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^0 = +17.6$  (c = 2.3); 11b:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^0 = -17.1$  (c = 2.07); 11c:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^0 = +15.3$  (c = 1.24); 11d:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^0 = -15.6$  (c = 1.14). 13a:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^0 = +42$  (c = 1.32); 13b:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^0 = -42.9$  (c = 1.62); 13c:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^0 = -14.1$  (c = 0.82); 13d:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^0 = +13.8$  (c = 0.84). 3a:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^3 = -82.8$  (c = 1.15); 3b:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^3 = +84.6$  (c = 1.30); 3c:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^5 = -118.9$  (c = 0.98); 3d:  $[\alpha]\hat{b}^2 = +125.0$  (c = 1.20).